	Case 1:20-cv-01547-JLT-GSA Documer	nt 26 Filed 08/09/24 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	IBN HAQQ,	No. 1:20-cv-01547 JLT GSA (PC)
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER DIRECTING CLERK OF COURT TO SEND PLAINTIFF COURTESY COPY OF
13	v.	FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT
14	MARGARET MIMS, et al.,	(ECF No. 21)
15 16	Defendants.	ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S REQUEST FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT
17		(ECF No. 24)
18		PLAINTIFF'S SECOND AMENDED
19		COMPLAINT DUE SEPTEMBER 9, 2024
20		ORDER DISREGARDING AS DUPLICATIVE PLAINTIFF'S REQUEST FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE
21		SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT
22		(ECF No. 25)
23		I
24	Plaintiff has filed two motions for a thirty-day extension of time to file a second amended	
25	complaint. ECF Nos. 24, 25. In support of the first-filed motion, Plaintiff states that he has put in	
26	several requests to go to the law library, but he has not received timely responses. ECF No. 24 at	
27	1. He also attaches some of his request forms. <u>Id.</u> at 2-7. Plaintiff also states that because his	
28		1
		1

first amended complaint was filed some time ago, he no longer has a copy of it and as a result he would like a copy of it sent to him by the Court. ECF No. 24 at 1. Plaintiff's first filed extension of time request (ECF No. 24) will be granted. Because Plaintiff's second-filed extension of time request (ECF No. 25) is duplicative, it will be disregarded as such. In addition, as a one-time courtesy, the Clerk of Court will be ordered to send Plaintiff a copy of his first amended complaint. Good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that: 1. As a one-time courtesy, the Clerk of Court shall send Plaintiff a copy of his first amended complaint. See ECF No. 21; 2. Plaintiff's first-filed motion for an extension of time (ECF No. 24) is GRANTED; 3. Within thirty days from the date of this order – by September 9, 2024, – Plaintiff shall file a second amended complaint, and 4. Plaintiff's second-filed motion for an extension of time (ECF No. 25) is DISREGARDED as DUPLICATIVE. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: **August 8, 2024** /s/ Gary S. Austin UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Case 1:20-cv-01547-JLT-GSA Document 26 Filed 08/09/24 Page 2 of 2